

Application No.: 10/724,299  
Response to Office Action of December 13, 2005  
Attorney Docket: K35A1398 / WSTDL-087A

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner issued a restriction requirement with respect to Invention I (as defined by Claims 1-6) and Invention II (as defined by Claims 7-15). Applicant hereby elects Invention I without traverse. In this regard Claims 7-15 have been cancelled without prejudice. On the basis of the foregoing, Applicant therefore submits that the indicated restriction requirement with respect to the Application has been fully addressed.

In addition by this Response to Office Action, Applicant has deleted a portion of the originally filed Title so as to delete reference to the method related subject matter associated with the non-elected Invention II.

Should the Examiner have any suggestions for expediting allowance of the application, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's representative at the telephone number listed below. If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number 19-4330.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/9/06

By:

Customer No.: 007663

  
Eric L. Tanezaki  
Registration No. 40,196  
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER  
75 Enterprise, Suite 250  
Aliso Viejo, California 92656  
Telephone: (949) 855-1246  
Fax: (949) 855-6371